

# REACTIONS

*Readers are invited to submit their reactions to the articles in our journal. Please address contributions to: ORIGINS, Geoscience Research Institute, 11060 Campus St., Loma Linda, California 92350 USA.*

## **Re: Aardsma: Letter to the Editor (ORIGINS 18:6-7)**

A typographical error appeared in my letter, which may cause some readers some confusion. The number “7500” should have been “5700.” The sentence containing this difficulty should read as follows:

*The C-14 age of the first growth ring of this tree is roughly 5700 B.P., while that of its final growth ring is roughly 6150 B.P.*

The mistaken number (7500) leads to the perplexity of how there could be only 580 rings in 1350 radiocarbon years.

I appreciated Dr. Brown’s response to my letter, but was disappointed in its lack of documentation regarding his thoughts on Jericho. Though I have read extensively about the excavation at Jericho (because of the prominent place it holds in establishing a proper date for the Exodus and Conquest), I have never come across anything to what Dr. Brown is suggesting. I join with Dr. Brown in hoping that a competent archaeologist will discuss this matter in ORIGINS in the future.

Gerald E. Aardsma  
Coordinator of Research  
Institute for Creation Research  
Santee, California